arXiv:1703.07933v1 [quant-ph] 23 Mar 2017

Speeding up adiabatic state conversion in optomechanical system

Feng-Yang Zhang[1*], Wen-Lin Li[2], and Yan Xia[3†]
[1]*School of Physics and Materials Engineering, Dalian Nationalities University, Dalian* 116600*, China*
[2]*School of Physics and Optoelectronic Technology, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China*
[3]*Department of Physics, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou 350002, China*

Speeding up adiabatic method has attracted much attention with the wide applications in quantum information processing. In this paper, two kinds of methods, Lewis-Riesenfeld invariant-based inverse engineering and transitionless quantum driving are applied to implement speeding up adiabatic state conversion in optomechanical system. The perfect population transfer can be achieved within a short time. At last, the energetic cost is analysed for the transitionless quantum driving.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Lx, 32.80.Qk

I. INTRODUCTION

Optomechanical system is composed of an optical (or microwave) cavity and a mechanical resonator. And it explores the interaction between light and mechanical motion. This field has made tremendous progress over the past decades [1]. Optomechanical system has shown the advantage to quantum information processing [2].

Recently, quantum state conversion between cavity modes of distinctly different wavelengths has been studied [3, 4]. A major flaw of this scheme that the fidelity is limited by cavity damping, thermal noise in the mechanical mode, and accuracy of the pump pulses. In order to overcome the effect of thermal noise on the transfer fidelity, the adiabatic quantum state transfer was proposed [5]. During this process, the quantum states are preserved in a mechanical dark mode with negligible excitation to the mechanical mode. Simultaneously, Wang and Clerk [6] proposed using a mechanically dark delocalized mode in a two-cavity optomechanical system for quantum state transfer, and demonstrated that both intracavity states and itinerantphoton states can be transferred with high fidelity.

However, an obstacle in achieving this is the long run time required for the desired parametric control associated with adiabatic evolution might be problematic, when the decoherence effect is considered. How to fast achieve and high-fidelity quantum state transfer in optomechanical system is an open question. There are two kinds of approaches, Lewis-Riesenfeld invariant-based inverse engineering [7–11] and transitionless quantum driving [12–17], are widely applied to speed up adiabatic state conversion.

In this paper, we propose an alternative scheme to speed up adiabatic state conversion in optomechanical system, which is composed of two cavity modes and one mechanical mode. When no damping is considered, we use the method of Lewis-Riesenfeld invariant-based inverse engineering to speed up adiabatic state conversion. When the damping of the system is considered, the approach of transitionless quantum driving is applied to speed up adiabatic state conversion. Quantum states can be converted between different cavity modes by varying the effective optomechanical couplings. Our scheme has potential applications in quantum information processing.

II. MODEL

We study an optomechanical system, which is composed of two cavity modes and one mechanical mode coupling via optomechanical forces. After the standard linearization procedure, under the rotating-wave approximation, the effective Hamiltonian for this coupled system is given by ($\hbar = 1$) [5, 18]

$$H_s = \sum_{i=1,2} \Delta_i a_i^\dagger a_i + g_i(a_i^\dagger b + a_i b^\dagger) + \omega_m b^\dagger b, \qquad (1)$$

where $a_i^\dagger(a_i)(i = 1, 2)$ and $b^\dagger(b)$ are the creation (annihilation) operator for the ith cavity mode and the mechanical mode, respectively, Δ_i is the laser detuning, g_i is the effective linear coupling strength, and ω_m is the mechanical frequency.

We consider the damping of the system. The cavity damping rates are κ_i and the mechanical damping rate is γ. When the condition $\omega_m = -\Delta$ is satisfied, the Langevin equation in the interaction picture can be written as

$$i\frac{d}{dt}A(t) = \mathcal{N}A(t), \qquad (2)$$

where the parameters $A(t) = [a_1(t),\ b(t),\ a_2(t)]^T$ and the dynamic matrix can be written as

$$\mathcal{N} = \begin{pmatrix} -i\frac{\kappa_1}{2} & g_1 & 0 \\ g_1 & -i\frac{\gamma}{2} & g_2 \\ 0 & g_2 & -i\frac{\kappa_2}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (3)$$

*zhangfy@dlnu.edu.cn
†xia-208@163.com



FIG. 1: (color online) (a) Evolution of coupling coefficients g_1(solid blue line) and g_2(dashed green line) with $\tau = 0.1T$. (b) Simulation of the quantum state populations transfer of the cavity mode a_1 (solid blue line), cavity mode a_2 (dashed red line), and mechanical mode b (solid-dot green line) with $G = 10^3$.



FIG. 2: (color online) (a) Evolution of coupling coefficients g_1(solid blue line) and g_2(dashed green line) with $\xi = 0.1, T = 1\mu s$. (b) Simulation of the quantum state populations transfer of the cavity mode a_1 (solid blue line), cavity mode a_2 (dashed red line), and mechanical mode b (solid green line).

III. ADIABATIC STATE CONVERSION

For the simple case of zero dampings $\kappa_a = \kappa_b = \kappa_c = 0$, the eigenvalues of the dynamic matrix are $E_1 = 0$, $E_2 = g_0/\sqrt{2}$, and $E_3 = -g_0/\sqrt{2}$ with the $g_0 = \sqrt{g_1^2 + g_2^2}$. The eigenvalue $E_1 = 0$ corresponding to eigenstate is $\psi_1 = [-g_2/g_0, 0, g_1/g_0]^T$, which is a mechanical dark mode that only involves the cavity modes. The population transfer of the cavity modes is realized by the dark state. The quantum state to be transferred is initially stored in cavity mode a_1. Then, g_1 is adiabatically decreasing and g_2 is adiabatically increasing. The information is transferred from cavity mode a_1 to mode a_2.

As an example, we choose the time-dependence coupling strengths, which are expressed by [19, 20]

$$g_2(t) = Gf(t-\tau); g_1(t) = Gf(t);$$

$$f(t) = \{ \begin{matrix} \sin^4(\pi t/T) & (0 < t < T) \\ 0 & (otherwise), \end{matrix} \quad (4)$$

where G is the amplitude of the coupling coefficients, τ represents the deviation of the time interval between two coupling strengths, and T is the period. Figure 1(a) shows the evolution of coupling coefficients g_1 and g_2. Figure 1(b) shows the evolution of the populations of the system. The population is not completely transferred from cavity mode a_1 to a_2.

IV. SHORTCUT TO ADIABATIC PASSAGE.

Converting quantum states between information memory units can have profound influences on quantum information processing. The adiabatic methods have been proposed for different physical systems. An obstacle in achieving this is the long run time required for the desired parametric control associated with adiabatic evolution. Here, we discuss how to implement the ultrafast converting quantum states.

When the no damping is considered, the Eq. (3) possesses SU(2) dynamical symmetry. To speed up the converting quantum states, we introduce an invariant $I(t)$, which satisfies $\partial I(t)/\partial t = -i[I(t), \mathcal{N}]$ and is given by [8, 9, 21]

$$I(t) = \Omega \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cos\alpha\sin\beta & -i\sin\alpha \\ \cos\alpha\sin\beta & 0 & \cos\alpha\cos\beta \\ i\sin\alpha & \cos\alpha\cos\beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

where Ω is an arbitrary constant with units of frequency to keep $I(t)$ with dimensions of energy, and the time-dependent auxiliary parameters α and β satisfy the relations $\dot{\alpha} = g_1\cos\beta - g_2\sin\beta$ and $\dot{\beta} = \tan\alpha(g_2\cos\beta + g_1\sin\beta)$. Thus, the coupling coefficients also can be expressed by parameters α and β as follows: $g_1 = \dot{\beta}\cot\alpha\sin\beta + \dot{\alpha}\cos\beta$ and $g_2 = \dot{\beta}\cot\alpha\cos\beta - \dot{\alpha}\sin\beta$. The eigenvalues of the invariant $I(t)$ are $\epsilon_1 = 0$, $\epsilon_2 = -1$, and $\epsilon_3 = 1$ corresponding to the eigenstates $\varphi_1 = [\cos\alpha\cos\beta, \ -i\sin\alpha, \ -\cos\alpha\sin\beta]^T$, $\varphi_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[\sin\alpha\cos\beta - i\sin\beta, \ i\cos\alpha, \ -\sin\alpha\sin\beta - i\cos\beta]^T$, and $\varphi_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[\sin\alpha\cos\beta + i\sin\beta, \ i\cos\alpha, \ -\sin\alpha\sin\beta + i\cos\beta]^T$, respectively. The converting quantum states between information memory units along the invariant eigenstate φ_1 in a given time T, which is the total evolution time. Therefore, we choose the parameters for α and β as follows: $\alpha = \xi$ and $\beta = \pi t/2T$, where ξ is a small value. And we obtain

$$g_1 = (\pi/2T)\cot\xi\sin(\pi t/2T), \quad (6)$$
$$g_2 = (\pi/2T)\cot\xi\cos(\pi t/2T). \quad (7)$$

Figure 2(a) shows the evolution of coupling coefficients g_1 and g_2. Figure 2(b) shows the evolution of the populations of the system. The perfect population transfer from cavity mode $a_1$to a_2 can be achieved.

When the damping of the system is considered. Here, we assume that the cavity modes and the mechanical mode have equal damping rates, i.e., $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = \gamma = \kappa$. The dynamics matrix (3) has the nondegenerate instantaneous eigenstates $|\lambda_1(t)\rangle = [g_1/g_0, 1, g_2/g_0]^T/\sqrt{2}, |\lambda_2(t)\rangle = [g_1/g_0, 1, g_2/g_0]^T/\sqrt{2}$ and $|\lambda_3(t)\rangle = [-g_2/g_0, 0, g_1/g_0]^T/\sqrt{2}$ with corresponding eigenvalues $E_1 = g_0 - i\kappa/2, E_2 = -g_0 - i\kappa/2$, and $E_3 = -i\kappa/2$, respectively. We introduce a Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_I(t)$, which steers the dynamics along the instantaneous eigenstates $|\lambda_m(t)\rangle$ without transitions among



FIG. 3: (color online) Simulation of the quantum state populations transfer of the cavity mode a_1 (dashed blue line), cavity mode a_2 (solid red line), and mechanical mode b (solid green line).

them and without phase factors, and it is described by $\mathcal{H}_I(t) = i\sum_m |\partial_t\lambda_m(t)\rangle\langle\lambda_m(t)|$ which takes the form

$$\mathcal{H}_I(t) = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & i\vartheta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i\vartheta & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{8}$$

where $\vartheta = (\dot{g}_1 g_2 - g_1\dot{g}_2)/g_0^2$. This matrix indicates that there should be a direct transition between cavities a_1 and a_2, and the transitions between the cavity modes and the mechanical mode are forbidden. Figure 3 shows the evolution of the populations of the system. Compared with the Fig. 1(b), the population transfer of the cavity mode a_1 and a_2 can be achieved within a short time.

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

When the transitionless quantum driving was employed to speed up adiabatic state conversion. It is important meaning to investigating the influence of energetic cost. The cost of counterdiabatic driving can be written in general as [22–25]

$$C = \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T ||H(t)||dt, \tag{9}$$

with the Frobenius norm $||A|| = \sqrt{\mathtt{Tr}[A^\dagger A]}$, where A is an operator. For the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{N} + \mathcal{H}_I$, we obtain

$$C = \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \sqrt{\sum_m [E_m^2 + \mu_m(t)]}dt, \tag{10}$$

where E_m are the eigenvalues of the Eq. (3) and $\mu_m(t) = \langle\partial_t\lambda_m(t)|\partial_t\lambda_m(t)\rangle - |\langle\lambda_m(t)|\partial_t\lambda_m(t)\rangle|^2$. The instantaneous cost is

$$\partial_t C = \sqrt{2g_0^2 - 3\kappa^2/4 + 2\vartheta^2}. \tag{11}$$

This equation shows an increase in the instantaneous cost to speed up adiabatic evolutions compared to their adiabatic counterparts. Figure (4) illustrates the behavior of $\partial_t C/g_0$ versus the g_0 for different ϑ with the $\kappa = 0.01g_0$. It is apparent that the $\partial_t C/g_0$ inversely proportional to the increasing g_0. And the speeding up adiabatic cost



FIG. 4: (color online) The $\partial_t C/g_0$ versus the g_0 for different ϑ, $\vartheta = 0$ (black line),$\vartheta = 0.1\pi$ (green line), $\vartheta = 0.2\pi$ (blue line), and $\vartheta = 0.3\pi$ (dashed red line).

recover the cost of adiabatic with the increasing g_0.

The real values of the experimental parameters are given to show the feasibility of our scheme [26]. The mechanical mode has the resonance frequency $\omega_m = 2\pi \times 3.68$GHz with an intrinsic damping rate $\gamma = 2\pi \times 35$kHz. The optomechanical coupling strength $g_i = 2\pi \times 910$kHz. The cavity mode has the resonance frequency $\omega_0 = 2\pi \times 195$THz. It is clear that the parameters used in our scheme has the same order of magnitude with the actual experimental implementation.

In summary, we have proposed a scheme to speed up adiabatic state conversion in optomechanical system. The perfect population transfer between cavity mode a_1 and a_2 can be implemented. Also, this scheme has potential application in generating entanglement and realizing quantum logic gates.

Acknowledgments

FYZ was supported by the National Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. [11505024, 11447135, 11505023, and 11605024] and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities No. DC201502080407. YX was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 11575045, No. 11374054 and No. 11674060, and the Major State Basic Research Development Program of China under Grant No. 2012CB921601.

[1] T. J. Kippenberg and K. J. Vahala, Science **321**, 1172 (2008).
[2] M. Aspelmeyer, T. J. Kippenberg, and F. Marquardt, Rev. Mod. Phys. **86**, 1391 (2014).
[3] L. Tian and H. L. Wang, Phys. Rev. A **82**, 053806 (2010).
[4] V. Fiore, Y. Yang, M. C. Kuzyk, R. Barbour, L. Tian,

and H. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 133601 (2011).
[5] L. Tian, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 153604 (2012).
[6] Y. D. Wang and A. A. Clerk, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 153603 (2012).
[7] H. R. Lewis and W. B. Riesenfeld, J. Math. Phys. **10**, 1458 (1969).
[8] X. Chen, E. Torrontegui, and J. G. Muga, Phys. Rev. A **83**, 062116 (2011).
[9] X. Chen and J. G. Muga, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 033405 (2012).
[10] M. Lu, Y. Xia, L. T. Shen, J. Song, and N. B. An, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 012326 (2014).
[11] Y. H. Chen, Y. Xia, Q. Q. Chen, and J. Song, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 033856 (2014).
[12] M. Demirplak and S. A. Rice, J. Phys. Chem. **107**, 9937 (2003).
[13] M. V. Berry, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **42**, 365303 (2009).
[14] Y. X. Du, Z. T. Liang, Y. C. Li, X. X. Yue, Q. X. Lü, W. Huang, X. Chen, H. Yan, and S. L. Zhu, Nat. Commun. **7**, 12999 (2016).
[15] Y. C. Li and X. Chen, Phys. Rev. A **94**, 063411 (2016).
[16] H. Zhang, X. K. Song, Q. Ai, M. Zhang, and F. G. Deng, arXiv:1610.09938v3.
[17] X. K. Song, Q. Ai, J. Qiu, and F. G. Deng, Phys. Rev. A **93**, 052324 (2016).
[18] C. Genes, D. Vitali, P. Tombesi, S. Gigan, and M. Aspelmeyer, Phys. Rev. A **77**, 033804 (2008).
[19] X. Chen, I. Lizuain, A. Ruschhaupt, D. Guéry-Odelin, and J. G. Muga, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 123003 (2010).
[20] M. P. Fewell, B. W. Shore, and K. Bergmann, Aust. J. Phys. **50**, 281 (1997).
[21] Y. Z. Lai, J. Q. Liang, H. J. W. Muller-Kirsten, and J.-G. Zhou, Phys. Rev. A **53**, 3691 (1996); J. Phys. A **29**, 1773 (1996).
[22] A. C. Santos and M. S. Sarandy, Sci. Rep. **5**, 15775 (2015).
[23] A. C. Santos, R. D. Silva, and M. S. Sarandy, Phys. Rev. A **93**, 012311 (2016).
[24] Y. Zheng, S. Campbell, G. De Chiara, and D. Poletti, Phys. Rev. A **94**, 042132 (2016).
[25] S. Campbell and S. Deffner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 100601 (2017).
[26] J. Chan, T. P. Mayer Alegre, A. H. Safavi-Naeini, J. T. Hill, A. Krause, S. Groblacher, M. Aspelmeyer, and O. Painter, Nature **478**, 89 (2011).